1	
/	
L	

Δ	D-	Δ	20	4	78	1
, .		, ,	-		, ,	

UNICLASSIFICATION AUTHORY 10. DECLASSIFICATION AUTHORY 11. DECLASSIFICATION AUTHORY 12. DECLASSIFICATION AUTHORY 13. DESTREUTIONAVARABILITY OF REFERENCE Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution public release; distribution is unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution public release; d		AU-F	AZU4 /	DOCUME	NTATION PAGE			
13. SCEATH CLASSPICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 5. MONTGRING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. MONTGRING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER REPORT NO PROJECT NO. TASK NO. ACCESSOR NO. SAND REPORT NUMBER REPORT NO PROJECT NO. TASK NO. ACCESSOR NO. ACCESS					16. RESTRICTIVE MARKING	GS	7711 511	ר החהי
Debug Debu			AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILAB	ILITY OF RERO		LE 633
Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. Solution of PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Solution of PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Solution of PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Solution of PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Ocean Systems Center No. To ADDRESS (th. Same and Dr. Control San Diego, CA 92152-5000 Solution of Performing Organization Solution No. Organization Solution Organization Solution Solution Organization Solution Solution Organization Solution Organization Organization Organization Solution Organization Organization Organization Organization Solution Organizati						_	- · -	1
San Diego, CA 92152-5000 San Diego, CA 9215	26. DECLASS	IFICATION/DOWNGE	RADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public rele	ease; distribut	ion is unlimite	·d.
Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NoSC November 1988 Novem	4. PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZ	ATION REPORT	NUMBER(S)	
Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NOSC Naval Ocean Systems Center NoSC November 1988 Novem								
San Diego, CA 92152-5000 San Diego, CA 9215	6a. NAME OF	PERFORMING ORG	ANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (d applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORIN	G ORGANIZATI	ON	į
San Diego, CA 92152-5000 San Amer OF FUNDING-SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 80. OFFICE SYMBOL 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT DENTFICATION NUMBER Chief of Naval Operations Sec. ADDRESS ICK, Saw and DF Comp. Washington, DC 20350 Washington, DC 20350 Washington, DC 20350 Task NO. AGENCY ACCESSION NO ACCESSION				NOSC				
Same Content of Punding Sponsoring Organization Site Office Symbol (SC. AUUHESS	S (City, State and UP Code)			TO. ACCRESS (CA), SIAM DIE ZI	ruder		1
Same Content of Punding Sponsoring Organization Site Office Symbol (, I	•.			3
Chief of Naval Operations 10. SOURCE OF FLANDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO PROJECT NO. TASK NO. ACESSION NO Washington, DC 20350 11. TITLE (review Sound Classification) A PROCESS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF NAVY CPI PROGRAMS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13a. Type OF REPORT PROGRAM Source on montal and the process of the pr				la aggios overna				
10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO PROJECT NO. TASK NO. ACENCY ACCESSION NO ACENCY A			RING ORGANIZATION	(# applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRU	UMENT IDENTIF	ICATION NUMBI	.
Washington, DC 20350 11. TITLE (uncless Souny Classification) A PROCESS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF NAVY C ² I PROGRAMS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. FUZAL, Jr. 13b. TIME COVERED PROMINE TO PROPORT Presentation/speech PROM June 1988 TO June 1988 10. DATE OF REPORT (Inter Mode, Day) 11c. DATE OF REPORT (Inter Mode, Day) 11d. DATE OF RE					10. SOURCE OF FUNDING	NUMBERS		
Washington, DC 20350 11. TITLE (INCLUSE SOUTH CLASSESSMENT OF NAVY CPI PROGRAMS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM June 1988 TO June 1988 November 1988 November 1988 November 1988 November 1988 November 1988 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Communication) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Communication) 19. ABSTRACT (Communication) 19. ABSTRACT (Communication) 19. The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CPI Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative—quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CPI systems are soughled for future evaluations. The current programs benefit assessing through the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff in Second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CPI programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program contained benefit cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CPI Appraisal-most respectifically, to support identification of "core" CPI programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 ~ 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. E 20. DISTRIBUTIONIAVALABUTY OF ABSTRACT UNICLASSIFIED UNICLASSIFIED UNICLASSIFIED UNICLASSIFIED UNICLASSIFIED UNICLASSIFIED Communication Communic							TASK NO.	
11. TITLE (rector Secury Classification) A PROCESS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF NAVY C*I PROGRAMS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Presentation/speech Presentation/speech PROM June 1988 Pro June 1988 Presentation/speech PROM June 1988 PROM JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE								
11. TITLE (rector Secury Classification) A PROCESS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF NAVY C*I PROGRAMS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Presentation/speech Presentation/speech PROM June 1988 Pro June 1988 Presentation/speech PROM June 1988 PROM JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE JUNE	Washington	n, DC 20350			65853N	CD87	}	DN305 162
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 13b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15c. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15c. TIME COVERED PROME June 1988 16c. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 15c. PAGE COUNT 17c. COSATI CODES 17c. COSATI CODES 17d. CO					1 110-0-0			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 13b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15b. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15c. TIME COVERED PRESENTATION 15c. TIME COVERED PROME June 1988 16c. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 15c. PAGE COUNT 17c. COSATI CODES 17c. COSATI CODES 17d. CO					_			I
C. S. Fuzak, Jr. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Presentation/Speech ROM June 1988 TO June 1988 November 1988 14. DATE OF REPORT (Now. Move. Day) 15. PAGE COUNT Presentation/Speech ROM June 1988 TO June 1988 November 1988 16. SUBPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations. Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical C?! Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of C?! systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefits analysis are coupled with program staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the C?! programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program of evel poly benefit for tailors. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical C?! Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" C?! programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 – 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			ESSMENT OF NA	VY C3I PROGRAM	<u>s</u>		 .	
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (New Mone, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI COCES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 17. COSATI COCES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay) and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on moneral of mostsay and identity by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on mon								ļ
Presentation/speech FROM June 1988 TO June 1988 November 1988 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Command control communication 19. ABSTRACT (Commun on ments in accessary and dentity by block number) The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CI Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CI systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CI programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CI Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" CI programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. ELECTE UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22. COFFICE SYMBOL			13h TIME COVERE		14 DATE OF BEDORT O	Veer Moore (1941)	15 PAGE COL	INT
18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continuo on monta of micessary and dentity by olock number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continuo on monta of micessary and dentity by olock number) The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical Cil Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of Cil systems are sought for future evaluations. The current programs enefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy Cil. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs bilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the Cil programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical Cil Appraisal—most specifically, to support identification of "core" Cil programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. OR DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT			1		1 1			
The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CI Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CI systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy CI. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CI programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CI Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" CI programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. O INTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL								
The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CI Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CI systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy CI. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CI programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CI Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" CI programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. O INTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
communication The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical C?! Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of C?! systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy C?!. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the C?! programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical C?! Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" C?! programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 ~ 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DIC USERS DIC USERS UNCLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFICD UNCLASSIFICD UNCLASSIFICD 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	17. COSATI	CODES		8. SUBJECT TERMS	Continue on reverse if necessary and ide	ntily by block number)		
The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical C?! Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative—quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of C?! systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Nava C?!. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the C?! programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical C?! Appraisal—most specifically, to support identification of "core" C?! programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA.	FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	_				ŀ
19. ABSTRACT (Commus on neutral I necessary and identity by block number) The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CP! Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative—quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CP! systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy CP!. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CP! programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CP! Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" CP! programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNCLIMITED SAME AS APT DTIC USERS DTIC 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 22. OFFICE SYMBOL		<u> </u>			1			{
The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical CI Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of CI systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy CI. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the CI programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical CI Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" CI programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. O DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		 		•••••••••				
Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical C?! Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative-quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of C?! systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy C?!. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the C?! programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical C?! Appraisal-most specifically, to support identification of "core" C?! programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. Presented at the Military Operations Research Society (MORS), 28 - 30 June 1988, Monterey, CA. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS APT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22. OFFICE SYMBOL	19. ABSTRAG	CT (Continue on reverse if n	ecessary and identify by block nur	nber)			·····	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT QUINCLASSIFIED / UNCLASSIFIED / UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22b. TELEPHONE (metade Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	Director of warfighting The first s involving contribution with the Cratios. The specifically	f Naval Warfare's g value of C ³ I syst tep develops a priofficers representing the following of current programs. The ese ratios are in to the comport identification of the comport iden	Tactical CI Warfa ems are sought for foritized and quantific ag both operational common admits a cor- results of this program used by the Dire-	re Appraisal is pres uture evaluations. T ed listing of specific commands and the (crect the identified d am benefit analysis ctor of Naval Warfa	ented. The process is quericle current program bent deficiencies in Navy C ³ l Chief of Naval Operation efficiencies. This step is a recoupled with program are's staff in developing the couple of th	alitative-quan efit assessmen I. This step us s' staff. The carried out by n cost analyse the Tactical C	titative tools for the process invoices a modified second step quantities to develop by a paper and appraisal-it appraisal-it	or assessing the lives two steps. Delphi process uantifies the erts familiar lenefit/cost most in benefit
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED X SAME AS APT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 22b. TELEPHONE (michale Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL				Research Society (M				E
228. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON 226. TELEPHONE (metade Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL								
				DTIC USERS		The Code i	220 OFFICE S	VMBOI
C. S. Fuzak, Jr. (619) 553-3003 Code 16			CASUN			vour;	Į.	
83 APR EDITION MAY BE USED UNTIL EXHAUSTED LINCLASSIFIED	C. S. Fuz	ak, Jr.						

DD FORM 1473, 84 JAN

ALL OTHER EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE

RE OBSOLETE SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE







A Process for the Assessment of Navy C3I Programs
Dr. Clarence S. Fuzak, Jr.
NAVOCEANSYSCEN, San Diego CA
(Paper to be presented at the 56th MORS Symposium, Working Group 13, Monterey CA, 28-30 June 1988)

Abstract:

The process presently being used in the development of program evaluations in support of the Chief of Naval Operations, Director of Naval Warfare's Tactical C3I Warfare Appraisal is presented. The process is qualitative—quantitative tools for assessing the warfighting value of C3I systems are sought for future evaluations. The current program benefit assessment process involves two steps. The first step develops a prioritized and quantified listing of specific deficiencies in Navy C3I. This step uses a modified Delphi process involving officers representing both operational commands and the Chief of Naval Operations' staff. The second step quantifies the contribution of current programs' abilities to correct the identified deficiencies. This step is carried out by technical experts familiar with the C3I programs. The results of this program benefit analysis are coupled with program cost analyses to develop benefit/cost ratios. These ratios are in turn used by the Director of Naval Warfare's staff in developing the Tactical C3I Appraisal—most specifically, to support identification of "core" C3I programs. This paper describes the two-step effort leading to program benefit assessments. - (12: 27

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.



Acces	sion For				
NTIS	GRA&I	席			
Unannounced Justingcation					
Distribution/ Availability Codes					
Dist	Avail as Specie	-			
A-1					